## Reeder's Evaluation of Architecture Project

**Team:** __________________________

### Proposal
- **15 pts:** The educational program—the vision for learning—is clearly explained. The reasons for design decisions are spelled out—how the shape and layout of rooms and buildings support what they’re intended to accomplish.

### Cost Estimate
- **10 pts:** Costs per square foot are correctly applied. Dimensions used match those on the floor plans. The calculations are error free.

### Scale Model
- **10 pts:** All required elements are included (tables, storage units, windows, doors). Every element is made to correct scale.

### Site Plan
- **15 pts:** All required elements are included (all buildings, parking lots, athletic fields, roads, etc.). Everything is drawn to correct scale (1" : 250').

### Floor Plan
- **15 pts:** All buildings on site plan are represented. All required interior elements are included (walls, windows, exits). Everything is drawn to correct scale.

### Perspective
- **10 pts:** The drawing is a 3-D perspective. The drawing shows building texture, landscaping and background detail.

### Clarity & Presentation
- **10 pts:** The information is logically sequenced and includes helpful organizers. The layout is professional looking; graphics enhance the clarity and complement the content; a cover page with team logo is included.

### Concept
- **5 pts:** The proposal demonstrates an understanding that both the company and the design concept must be sold; tone is business-like.

### The room shape and interior layout support a futuristic vision of education, and provide for technology & varying needs of learners.

### The plan reflects a thoughtful use of the site, and takes advantage of its natural features, while preserving 50% of the wetland.

### The arrangement of spaces reflects a thoughtful approach to using light, and making circulation (horizontal & vertical) efficient.

### The drawing brings the site plan “alive”— makes it accessible to the viewer and invites investigation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
<th>Scale Model</th>
<th>Site Plan</th>
<th>Floor Plan</th>
<th>Perspective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 pts:</td>
<td>10 pts:</td>
<td>10 pts:</td>
<td>15 pts:</td>
<td>15 pts:</td>
<td>10 pts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposal demonstrates an understanding that both the company and the design concept must be sold; tone is business-like</td>
<td>Costs per square foot are correctly applied. Dimensions used match those on the floor plans. The calculations are error free.</td>
<td>All required elements are included (tables, storage units, windows, doors). Every element is made to correct scale.</td>
<td>All required elements are included (all buildings, parking lots, athletic fields, roads, etc.). Everything is drawn to correct scale (1&quot; : 250').</td>
<td>All buildings on site plan are represented. All required interior elements are included (walls, windows, exits). Everything is drawn to correct scale.</td>
<td>The drawing is a 3-D perspective. The drawing shows building texture, landscaping and background detail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 pts:</td>
<td>15 pts:</td>
<td>10 pts:</td>
<td>15 pts:</td>
<td>15 pts:</td>
<td>10 pts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The information is logically sequenced and includes helpful organizers. The layout is professional looking; graphics enhance the clarity and complement the content; a cover page with team logo is included.</td>
<td>The scale model is carefully cut &amp; assembled. A “paper doll” person is included to represent the relative size of the space.</td>
<td>The site plan is carefully rendered. Every building and developed area is labeled. Building “footprints” are visible from the back of the room. Company name &amp; logo are on drawing.</td>
<td>The floor plans are carefully rendered. The scale used is clearly indicated. All buildings, and interior spaces are labeled. Company name &amp; logo are on drawing.</td>
<td>The drawing is carefully rendered. The drawing is visible from the back of room. Company name &amp; logo are on drawing.</td>
<td>The drawing is carefully rendered. The drawing is visible from the back of room. Company name &amp; logo are on drawing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 pts:</td>
<td>10 pts:</td>
<td>15 pts:</td>
<td>15 pts:</td>
<td>5 pts:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposal demonstrates an understanding that both the company and the design concept must be sold; tone is business-like.</td>
<td>The room shape and interior layout support a futuristic vision of education, and provide for technology &amp; varying needs of learners.</td>
<td>The plan reflects a thoughtful use of the site, and takes advantage of its natural features, while preserving 50% of the wetland.</td>
<td>The arrangement of spaces reflects a thoughtful approach to using light, and making circulation (horizontal &amp; vertical) efficient.</td>
<td>The drawing brings the site plan “alive”— makes it accessible to the viewer and invites investigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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