MC² STEM High School

601 Erieside Ave, Cleveland, OH 44114-1021 - Grades 9-11 - Cuyahoga County





The School Report Card for the 2010-2011 school year shows the progress schools have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators





Adequate Value-Added Yearly Progress

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency

State Indicators

To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

2010-2011 School Year Report Card

Current Principal: Jeffrey D. McClellan (216) 592-6875 Current Superintendent: Eric S. Gordon (216) 574-8000









# Students enrolled in Title I schools in School Improvement m Contact your school for specific options available to your chil		oice or Supplemental Educationa	l Services.				
State Pe	•	at and above the Pr					
Indicators	Your School 2010-2011	Your District 2010-2011	State 2010-2011				
3rd Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent				
1. Reading	l	55.3%	79.9%				
2. Mathematics		58.3%	82.0%				
4th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent				
3. Reading		60.1%	83.8%				
4. Mathematics		48.9%	78.1%				
5th Grade Achievement	The state requirement is 75 percent						
5. Reading		43.4%	74.1%				
6. Mathematics		30.4%	66.1%				
7. Science		27.5%	71.1%				
6th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent				
8. Reading		63.6%	85.6%				
9. Mathematics		46.6%	77.5%				
7th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent				
10. Reading		50.1%	77.3%				
11. Mathematics		46.3%	74.8%				
8th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent				
12. Reading		64.7%	85.1%				
13. Mathematics		44.6%	74.3%				

14. Science Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)

- 15. Reading
- 16. Mathematics
- 17. Writing
- 18. Science
- 19. Social Studies

Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade) *

- 20. Reading
- 21. Mathematics
- 22. Writing
- 23. Science
- 24. Social Studies

Attendance Rate

25. All Grades

2009-10 Graduation Rate

26. School

66.1% 71.1% cent 85.6% 77.5% cent 77.3% 74.8% cent 85.1% 74.3% 67.4% 30.0% The state requirement is 75 percent

95.0% 67.6% 87.2% 85.0% 82.6% 58.7% 93.3% 76.4% 89.5% 81 7% 74.7% 44.3% 80.1% 85.0% 50.5% The state requirement is 85 percent

93.8%	81.0%	92.4%
93.8% 🗸	73.6%	89.1%
98.5% 🗸	84.0%	93.4%
86.2% 🗸	62.1%	84.2%
90.8% 🗸	71.4%	88.0%
'		

The State re	quireillelli is 95 p	ercem
94.8% 🗸	92.2%	94.5%
The state re	quirement is 90 p	ercent


84.3% 62.8%


Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a .


-- = Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group *Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.


Your School's Assessment Results Over Time


All students in the school for a full academic year are included in the results.















Indicators are based on state assessments, as well as on attendance and graduation rates. To earn an indicator for Achievement or Graduation Tests, at least 75% of students must reach proficient or above for the given assessment.


The State

State

Indicators.

assessment.
For the 11th grade Ohio
Graduation Tests indicators,
a cumulative 85% passage
rate for each assessment
is required.





* Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

Performance Index

Performance Index Calculations for the 2010-2011 School Year

 		Percentage	x	Weight	=	Points
	Untested	0.0	Х	0.0	=	0.0
	Limited	2.7	Х	0.3	=	0.8
	Basic	9.3	Х	0.6	=	5.6
	Proficient	52.0	Х	1.0	=	52.0
	Accelerated	26.0	Χ	1.1	=	28.6
	Advanced	10.0	Х	1.2	=	12.0

Your School's Performance Index 99.0

Performance Index


The Performance
Index reflects the
achievement of every student
enrolled for the full academic

year. The Performance Index is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show school achievement trends.

Performance Index Over Time

2010-2011	2009-2010	2008-2009
99.0	97.7	0.0

Value-Added Measure



Note: Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include students in grades 4 through 8.

Your school's Value-Added rating represents the progress your school has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved. Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include sufficient testing data for students in any grade 4 through 8.

Legend

+ = Above Expected Growth

Expected Growth

= Below Expected Growth

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)



Adequate Yearly Progress Grades 3-8 and 10 Reading and Mathematics Mistudents Economically Disably acids to the progress to						Hispanic	n Indian Alask	a Native	۵	Hispanic	with Disabilitien Limited Ethi	AYP Determination by Indicator	リ
N	Reading and Nathematics	All Studen	Economi	Asian P	Black, F	America	Hispanic	Multi-R?	White P	on Hispanic Students	W. Limited En	AYP Determination by Indicator	on
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Reading Proficiency: Met	
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Mathematics Met Proficiency:	
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	NR	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Reading Met	
Percen	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Mathematics Participation: Met	
Gra	aduation Rate*	N/A										Graduation Rate: N/A	
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate: Met	
AYI	Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	AYP Determination Met for Your School:	
	Legend												

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- * The non-test indicators used for overall AYP (Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate) are evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

	N/A	Not applicable.	
	NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number nee reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation	
	Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.	
N	ot Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.	_

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics

Proficiency and Participation, Attendance Rate, and Graduation Rate. These goals are applied to ten student groups: All Students, Economically Disadvantaged Students, Asian/Pacific Islander Students, Black, non-Hispanic Students, American Indian/Alaska Native Students, Hispanic Students, Multi-Racial Students, White,

non-Hispanic Students, Students with Disabilities (IEP), and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in the state's rating designation.

2009-2010 Graduation Rate Information

All Students 	American Indian/ Alaska Native 	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Econ. Disadvtgd
Hispanic	Limited English	Multi-Racial	Students with	White,
	Proficient		Disabilities	non-Hispanic

The disaggregated graduation rates of your school are provided for informational purposes only and are not used for your AYP determination.

State and Federally Required School Information

Your School's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Limit	ed									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science	0.0 0.0 2.2 0.0	 	 	 	 	 	0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0	 	 	 	1.7 0.0 3.3 1.7	 	3.8 0.0 7.7 3.8	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Social Studies	4.3						3.8				6.7		11.5	2.9
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Basid	•									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	2.2 4.3 13.0 19.6 8.7	 	 	 	 	 	1.9 1.9 13.2 15.1 7.5	 	 	 	3.3 6.7 11.7 16.7 8.3	 	0.0 7.7 11.5 15.4 11.5	5.9 5.9 11.8 17.6 5.9
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Profi	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	58.7 69.6 43.5 60.9 50.0	 	 	 	 	 	52.8 67.9 35.8 58.5 47.2	 	 	 	55.0 66.7 38.3 55.0 45.0	 	46.2 50.0 23.1 53.8 26.9	61.8 79.4 50.0 55.9 58.8
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	32.6 26.1 28.3 17.4 26.1	 	 	 	 	 	35.8 30.2 30.2 18.9 24.5	 	 	 	31.7 26.7 28.3 20.0 23.3	 	30.8 42.3 34.6 15.4 23.1	32.4 14.7 23.5 23.5 23.5
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Adva	nced									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	6.5 0.0 13.0 2.2 10.9	 	 	 	 	 	9.4 0.0 18.9 7.5 17.0	 	 	 	8.3 0.0 18.3 6.7 16.7	 	19.2 0.0 23.1 11.5 26.9	0.0 0.0 14.7 2.9 8.8

|--|

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
214	74.4%			6.4%		17.3%	100.0%		9.4%	

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

Federally Required School Teacher Information


Your Building's Poverty Status*: High Pover	rty Your Building	District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%	99.9%
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	50.0%	50.2%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	46.2%	4.0%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	61.5%	95.8%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0.0%	0.3%

^{*}High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. --= Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Determining Your School's Designation

Determining your school's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index and 3) AYP determination.





and

Not Met

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your school and your school's performance index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are two ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

and

0 to 69.9

0%-30.9%

- 1. If a school meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- If an Excellent or Effective school does not meet AYP for the same two (or more) subgroups for three consecutive years, its rating will be decreased to Effective or Continuous Improvement (respectively).

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the school's final designation.

Academic Emergency

- If your school's designation either is increased or decreased due to AYP, Value-Added has no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your school experiences above expected growth in the current year, your school's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your school experiences below expected growth for at least three consecutive years, your school's final designation will decrease by one designation.

Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation
Excellent	and	Above expected growth	Excellent with Distinction
LAGGIGIT		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Effective
Effective	and	Above expected growth	Excellent
	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth	Effective
		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Watch
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth	Continuous Improvement
rioddollio Watoli		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth	Academic Watch
		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency

^{*}In all other cases, Yalue-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation will become the final designation.

2009-2010 Four-Year "On-Time" Graduation Rate Information

All Students 	American Indian/ Alaska Native 	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Econ. Disadvtgd
Hispanic	Limited English	Multi-Racial	Students with	White,
	Proficient		Disabilities	non-Hispanic

In 2008, the U.S. Department of Education issued new regulations to require Ohio and all other states to transition to a new graduation rate formula that will provide more consistency in reporting and will allow for comparisons across states. The new formula, referred to as a "four-year, adjusted cohort graduation rate," includes only graduates who earn either a regular or honors diploma anytime within four years of when they first enter the 9th grade, which includes the summer immediately following their fourth year of high school.

The cohort (group) is created by following the progress of individual students from the time they enter high school. The group is adjusted to take into account students who transfer in or out any time over the four years. The graduation rate is calculated by taking the number of students who graduate in four years or less and dividing it by the number of students in the original list of 9th graders, adjusted to include students who move away or move into the school district.

Ohio is required to display the new graduation rate in 2011 to help familiarize the public with how it is created and compares to the existing rate. Beginning in 2012, this new rate will be the official graduation rate for Ohio and will be used for accountability purposes. Ohio also is required to display the new graduation rate by student group (as shown in the table on this page).

The data on this page is for the graduating Class of 2010. So that summer graduates can be included, Ohio lags its graduation rate by one year. Next year, the new formula (computed for the graduating Class of 2011) will be used in each school or district's AYP calculation and to determine if the school or district met the state indicator for graduation.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparision of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov

and search for key word "NAEP"